UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/522,750	01/28/2005	Tadashi Imai	1188-0125PUS1	8717	
2292 7590 04/06/2007 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747			EXAMINER MULCAHY, PETER D		
					FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747
			1713		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MOI	NTHS	04/06/2007	FLECTI	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 04/06/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

	-	Application No.	Applicant(s)	/		
Office Action Summary		10/522,750	IMAI, TADASHI			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Peter D. Mulcahy	1713			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DASSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute the period by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ARANDONE.	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication D (35 U.S.C. & 133)			
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Ja	anuary 2005				
		action is non-final.				
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits i	ie		
<i>,</i> —	closed in accordance with the practice under E					
Dispositi	on of Claims	, perio quajio, 1000 0.5. 11, 10				
	<ul> <li>4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> </ul>					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	wir irom consideration.				
	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r cleation requirement				
		r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9) 🗌 .	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the E	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(	( <b>d)</b> .		
11) 🗌 .	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
2) Notice 3) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 1/28/05&6/8/05	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) ☐ Notice of Informal P 6) ☑ Other: <u>IDS 12/14/05</u>	ite atent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/522,750

Art Unit: 1713

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nishihara US 6,403,716.
- 3. This patent teaches thermoplastic elastomer compositions. The crosslinked rubber, component (A) as claimed is disclosed at column 2 lines 58+. The crosslinking is discussed here as well as the peroxide crosslinking agents at column 6 lines 29+. The softener component is the oil described at column 7 lines 38+. The combination of polypropylene based resins at column 5 lines 10+ is seen to identify isotactic and syndiotactic polypropylene. The isotactic polypropylene is clearly identified at lines 54-58 of column 5 as being (B-2). The polypropylene identified as being (B-1) at lines 20+ is seen to read on the syndiotactic polypropylene. The difference between this disclosure and the instantly claimed invention is the identification of the pentad ratios of the polypropylene (B-1) and (B-2). The isotactic pentad ratio is anticipated or obvious from the disclosure of the preferred isotactic polypropylene. Given the description it is reasonable to presume that this polypropylene has the pentad ratio the meets the claim limitation. The isotactic pentad ratio claimed is 0.8 or more. This is a highly regular polymer as described in the art. The syndiotactic pentad ratio limitation is obvious from

Application/Control Number: 10/522,750

Art Unit: 1713

the disclosure at column 5 lines 20+. Here polypropylene is described as optionally being copolymerized with ethylene in a random copolymer. This is seen to suggest a random copolymer different from the regular, isotactic, polypropylene described in lines 54-58. As such, one would be motivated to select a random or syndiotactic polypropylene to use as (B-1).

Page 3

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter D. Mulcahy whose telephone number is 571-272-1107. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on 571-272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1713

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Peter D. Mulcahy Primary Examiner Art Unit 1713

3/29/07